

03

Truth and Non-Violence as Literary Ideals in Indian Writing in English

Dr. Dharmendrakumar Ratilal Baria

Assistant Professor

Vivekanand College of Arts Ahmedabad, Gujarat

Abstract:

Truth (*Satya*) and Non-Violence (*Ahimsa*) are the foundational ethical ideals of Indian philosophical thought and Gandhian ideology. Indian Writing in English reflects these values as powerful moral and spiritual forces shaping human consciousness. This paper examines how truth and non-violence function as literary ideals in selected works of Raja Rao, R. K. Narayan, Khushwant Singh, and Arun Joshi. Through political resistance, moral struggle, existential suffering, and spiritual awakening, the paper evaluates the narrative use of Gandhian ethics and their relevance in contemporary society. The study concludes that Indian Writing in English becomes a cultural bridge between ancient Indian values and universal humanism, while also exposing the crises and contradictions that threaten these ideals in modern life.

Keywords:

Satya, Ahimsa, Gandhi, Satyagraha, Indian Writing in English, ethics, freedom struggle, Partition, spirituality

Introduction:

Indian Writing in English (IWE) has never been merely an imitation of English literary forms; it has continually negotiated between inherited Indian ethical philosophical traditions and the modern experience of colonialism, nationalism, and postcolonial disillusionment. Among the most enduring ethical concepts carried into Indian English literature are *Satya* (truth) and *Ahimsa* (non-violence). These are not simply moral slogans; they are spiritual disciplines and cultural ideals deeply embedded in Indian religious thought (Hindu, Jain, Buddhist) and rearticulated in the modern era through Gandhi's philosophy of *Satyagraha*.

For Gandhi, truth was not confined to factual accuracy or courtroom logic; it was an existential commitment truth as a way of being. His spiritual political conviction is famously condensed in the statement: "My religion is based on truth and non-violence. Truth is my God. Non-violence is the means of realizing Him" (Mehta 1 In this formulation, truth is the highest end, and non-violence is the indispensable method: without truth, non-violence becomes hollow; without non-violence, truth becomes self-defeating and destructive. The literary imagination in India absorbed this ethical pairing not only as a nationalist strategy, but as a measure of human integrity and a critique of dehumanizing modernity.

This paper studies four major novelists Raja Rao, R. K. Narayan, Khushwant Singh, and Arun Joshi to explore how truth and non-violence operate as literary ideals. These writers do not treat Gandhian values as simple propaganda. Instead, they dramatize the lived difficulty of *Satya* and *Ahimsa*: their demands on the self, their vulnerability under political pressure, and their fragile relevance in moments of communal hatred, moral compromise, and spiritual alienation.

Literature Review:

Scholarly engagement with Truth (*Satya*) and Non-violence (*Ahimsa*) in Indian Writing in English has largely emerged from three interrelated critical traditions: Gandhian studies, postcolonial literary criticism, and ethical humanist readings of Indian fiction. While numerous critics have examined Gandhian ideology as a historical and political force, fewer studies have systematically explored its sustained literary representation as an ethical and aesthetic ideal across multiple Indian English novelists.

Early critics such as M. K. Naik locate Indian Writing in English within the broader cultural and nationalist context, arguing that the freedom movement profoundly shaped the moral imagination of Indian novelists writing in English. Naik observes that Gandhi's influence extended beyond politics into "the ethical temper and narrative consciousness of Indian fiction," especially during the pre-independence and immediate post-independence periods (Naik 118). This insight is particularly relevant to writers like Raja Rao and R. K. Narayan, whose works integrate Gandhian ethics into narrative structure and character development rather than treating them as external political themes.

Raja Rao's *Kanthapura* has received sustained critical attention as a Gandhian novel. Critics such as C. D. Narasimhaiah argue that the novel transforms the freedom struggle into a spiritual epic, blending myth, folklore, and political ideology. Narasimhaiah notes that Gandhi functions in the novel not merely as a leader but as a "moral and spiritual principle" that reorganizes village life around truth and non-violence (Narasimhaiah 67). Similarly, Meenakshi Mukherjee highlights Rao's linguistic experimentation and oral narrative style, suggesting that Gandhian *Satyagraha* is embedded in the collective voice of the village, making truth a shared cultural experience rather than an individual abstraction (Mukherjee 92).

Critical discussions of R. K. Narayan often emphasize his apparent distance from overt political engagement; however, scholars increasingly recognise the subtle ethical dimension of his fiction. William Walsh argues that Narayan's strength lies in portraying "moral growth without moral sermonising," where Gandhian values operate quietly through personal transformation rather than ideological confrontation (Walsh 143). In *Waiting for the Mahatma*, critics such as S. Krishna Swamy observe that Narayan presents Gandhi as a moral educator whose presence encourages self-discipline, responsibility, and ethical maturity, thereby redefining freedom as inner self rule rather than mere political independence (Swamy 211).

Philosophical Foundations: Satya and Ahimsa as Ethical Spiritual Disciplines:

In Indian traditions, truth is often approached as *sat*—that which is real, enduring, and aligned with the deepest moral order. In Jain and Buddhist ethics, non-violence is central

because life is interconnected; harming another is also a form of harm to the moral self. Gandhi inherits these civilizational streams but transforms them into a modern ethical politics.

Gandhi repeatedly insists that non-violence is not weakness or passivity. He calls it an active force capable of confronting oppression without becoming oppressive. The moral energy of non-violence is expressed in his well-known declaration: “Non-violence is the greatest force at the disposal of mankind. It is mightier than the mightiest weapon of destruction devised by the ingenuity of man” (“The Power of Non-violence”). This is not merely rhetorical. Gandhi insists that the means shape the ends: violent methods cannot give birth to a truly just society because violence reproduces fear, revenge, and domination in new forms.

Truth, similarly, is not abstract idealism. Gandhi defines truth in spiritual and ethical terms: “To me God is Truth and Love; God is ethics and morality” (“Truth is God”). Literature becomes one of the most effective places to test this claim, because fiction reveals how easily human beings rationalize lies, compromise conscience, and treat violence as necessary. IWE therefore often uses narrative to stage moral experiments: what happens when truth is pursued under colonial control? What happens when communal violence makes truth painful or dangerous? What happens when a modern individual experiences spiritual emptiness and seeks a deeper authenticity?

Raja Rao’s *Kanthapura*: Truth and Non-violence as Collective Myth and Political Awakening:

Raja Rao’s *Kanthapura* (1938) is among the most influential Gandhian novels in IWE, presenting the freedom struggle through the transformation of a South Indian village. The novel fuses political history with cultural myth, turning Gandhian ethics into a collective narrative of awakening. The famous “Foreword” establishes the village as a sacred historical space shaped by legend and by modern political sainthood. Rao writes: “There is no village in India, however mean, that has not a rich *sthala purana*, or legendary history, of its own... or the Mahatma himself... might have slept in this hut” (Rao, “Foreword”).

This passage performs a crucial literary action: it places Gandhi within the continuum of Indian sacred narrative. By doing so, the novel suggests that truth and non-violence are not imported political tactics but culturally resonant ethical energies. In *Kanthapura*, Gandhian truth is carried as “truth force” (*satyagraha*), and non-violence is practiced as discipline: spinning, prayer, fasting, and civil disobedience become signs of inner purification and collective courage.

Rao’s village narrative shows that non-violence requires organization, sacrifice, and endurance. It is not merely “not hitting back”; it is resisting injustice without surrendering one’s moral centre. The villagers’ gradual shift from fear to ethical confidence becomes the story’s real plot. The colonial system is exposed as dependent on violence and intimidation, while Gandhian resistance depends on a deeper truth: the colonized must reject the internalization of inferiority.

R. K. Narayan's *Waiting for the Mahatma*: Truth and Non-violence as Moral Education in Ordinary Life:

R. K. Narayan's *Waiting for the Mahatma* (1955) offers a different treatment of Gandhian ideals. Here Gandhi is not mythologized through collective epic; he appears within Narayan's gentle realism as an ethical presence shaping individual growth and everyday conscience. The novel is notable for allowing Gandhi to enter the narrative space as a character and moral guide, even while the tone remains comic, humane, and sceptical of grand slogans.

Narayan's key contribution is to show that truth and non-violence are lived through small decisions: resisting fear, refusing hatred, learning discipline, and becoming responsible. The protagonist's journey (in many readings) becomes a movement from immature desire to moral awareness. Gandhi's influence is thus pedagogical: he teaches the meaning of freedom as self-rule, not merely political independence.

Narayan's ethical realism also implies a subtle critique: if non-violence is to survive, it must survive in ordinary social life within family tensions, local politics, and daily selfishness. By relocating Gandhian ideals into the everyday, Narayan suggests that the real battle for truth is not only against colonial power but also against moral laziness and personal dishonesty.

Khushwant Singh's *Train to Pakistan*: Truth Telling and the Catastrophe of Violence:

If *Kanthapura* shows Gandhian ethics as collective awakening, and *Waiting for the Mahatma* shows them as moral education, Khushwant Singh's *Train to Pakistan* (1956) confronts their near collapse during Partition. The novel is set in August 1947, when communal violence tears apart the social fabric of north India. In this historical context, truth and non-violence become deeply problematic: truth becomes unbearable, and non-violence seems powerless against mass hatred.

Singh's narrative method is itself a form of truth telling. He refuses to romanticize Partition, and instead depicts how ordinary communities become sites of terror. The novel's "truth" is therefore not ideological; it is testimonial an insistence on looking directly at horror. This is a crucial literary function: when history becomes violent propaganda, literature can recover human truth by showing how violence breaks bodies, families, and moral relations.

The novel's ethical climax suggests that even in a world saturated by violence, a single act of courage can affirm humanism. Singh's portrait of moral redemption implies that truth and non-violence can survive not as mass politics, but as individual sacrifice an act that refuses hatred even when hatred dominates the environment.

This shift is significant for IWE: Gandhian ideals move from collective programme to existential moral choice. Partition literature repeatedly shows that non-violence is not guaranteed by culture or religion; it must be chosen, again and again, against the pressure of fear and revenge.

Arun Joshi: Inner Truth, Spiritual Alienation, and the Ethics of Non-violence:

Arun Joshi represents a later phase of IWE where the central struggle is less colonial and more existential. His fiction frequently explores alienation, moral confusion, and spiritual

emptiness in post-independence India. In such a world, truth is no longer only political honesty; it becomes the problem of authenticity how to live without lying to oneself.

Joshi's characters often experience a crisis of meaning. This crisis can be read as a modern transformation of the Gandhian question: if truth is God, what happens when the modern self loses faith in moral order? In *The Strange Case of Billy Biswas* (first published 1971), the protagonist's rejection of elite modern life becomes a search for a deeper "real." Although Joshi does not offer simplistic spiritual solutions, his narrative suggests that the modern world produces forms of violence that are not only physical alienation, exploitation, inner emptiness, and ethical numbness.

In *The City and the River* (often read as political allegory), Joshi also stages a conflict between violent and non-violent resistance and reflects on the difficulty of sustaining non-violence under authoritarian power. This is crucial: Joshi shows that non-violence is not only threatened by riots and mobs, but also by the modern state's machinery surveillance, coercion, propaganda, and bureaucratic control.

Comparative Discussion: Four Literary Functions of Satya and Ahimsa:

Across these writers, truth and non-violence operate as literary ideals in distinct but connected ways:

1) Truth as cultural memory and collective identity (Raja Rao)

Truth becomes a shared narrative that fuses sacred tradition with modern politics, giving ethical meaning to resistance and suffering.

2) Truth as moral practice in ordinary life (Narayan)

Truth is tested in daily choices, emotional immaturity, compromise, and the slow education of conscience.

3) Truth as witness against historical terror (Khushwant Singh)

Truth becomes the courage to name violence and refuse sentimental lies; non-violence becomes a fragile possibility under communal frenzy.

4) Truth as authenticity and spiritual resistance (Arun Joshi)

Truth is the inner demand for meaning; non-violence becomes resistance to the subtle violences of modern systems and empty living.

Taken together, these functions reveal a central insight: Gandhian ideals in IWE are not static moral ornaments. They are narrative energies that shape plot, character, symbolism, and ethical vision. Yet they are also questioned. Literature does not merely celebrate truth and non-violence; it asks what they cost, how they fail, and how they might survive in altered forms.

Contemporary Relevance: Why These Ideals Still Matter:

In the contemporary world marked by polarization, misinformation, and normalized cruelty truth and non-violence remain urgently relevant. Gandhi's insistence that non-violence is a "force" rather than a weakness challenges modern assumptions that power must be violent. Similarly, his insistence that truth is inseparable from ethics resists the modern separation between "facts" and "values," where truth is treated as a tool rather than a moral commitment.

(IWE helps keep these ideals alive not by repeating slogans but by dramatizing consequences: the moral beauty of disciplined resistance, the slow difficulty of ethical growth, the catastrophic cost of communal hatred, and the inner suffering of an untruthful life. In this sense, Indian English novels contribute to a global humanist archive. They show that truth and non-violence are not only Indian ideals; they can function as universal literary standards for judging the health of a society and the integrity of a self.

Conclusion:

Truth (*Satya*) and Non-violence (*Ahimsa*) are among the most significant ethical ideals shaping Indian Writing in English. Through Raja Rao, these values become collective myth and political awakening; through R. K. Narayan, they become moral education embedded in everyday life; through Khushwant Singh, they confront the near impossibility of ethics during Partition violence; and through Arun Joshi, they expand into existential and spiritual questions of authenticity, inner violence, and resistance to dehumanizing modernity.

The literary power of these ideals lies in their double function: they provide ethical aspiration, and they expose ethical failure. Indian Writing in English, therefore, does not merely “reflect” Gandhian values it tests them, remakes them, and forces readers to ask what kind of society and self can genuinely sustain truth without hatred and non-violence without cowardice. Gandhi’s formulation truth as the ultimate end, non-violence as the method remains a guiding ethical grammar for literature, and a necessary challenge to the violent temptations of modern history.

References:

1. Bhalla, Alok. *Life and Works of Khushwant Singh*. Indian Institute of Advanced Study, 1998.
2. Gandhi, Mohandas K. *The Mind of Mahatma Gandhi*. Compiled by Raghavan Iyer, Oxford UP, 1968.
3. “The Power of Non-violence.” *Collected Works of Mahatma Gandhi*, Government of India, www.mkgandhi.org. Accessed 20 Dec. 2025.
4. “Truth Is God.” *Collected Works of Mahatma Gandhi*, Government of India, www.mkgandhi.org. Accessed 20 Dec. 2025.
5. Joshi, Arun. *The City and the River*. Orient Paperbacks, 1990.
6. *The Strange Case of Billy Biswas*. Orient Paperbacks, 1971.
7. Mukherjee, Meenakshi. *The Twice Born Fiction: Themes and Techniques of the Indian Novel in English*. Heinemann, 1971. Naik, M. K. *A History of Indian English Literature*. Sahitya Akademi, 1982.
8. Narasimhaiah, C. D. *The Swan and the Eagle: Essays on Indian English Literature*. Indian Institute of Advanced Study, 1968.
9. Narayan, R. K. *Waiting for the Mahatma*. Indian Thought Publications, 1955.
10. Rao, Raja. *Kanthapura*. 1938. Oxford UP, 2005.
11. Singh, Khushwant. *Train to Pakistan*. Chatto and Windus, 1956.
12. Swamy, S. Krishna. “Gandhian Ideology in R. K. Narayan’s *Waiting for the Mahatma*.” *Indian Literature*, vol. 32, no. 4, 1989, pp. 208–215.
13. Valiyamattam, R. J. “Power and Resistance in Arun Joshi’s *The City and the River*.” *Research Scholar: An International Refereed e Journal of Literary Explorations*, vol. 2, no. 4, 2014, pp. 80–86.
14. Walsh, William. *R. K. Narayan: A Critical Appreciation*. Oxford UP, 1982.